

## Universal Screening for Children at Risk for Dyslexia Why? Research Shows...

1

• It takes 4X longer to intervene in 4<sup>th</sup> grade than in late kindergarten.

2

• Children at-risk for reading failure can be reliably identified even before kindergarten by assessing their emergent literacy skills.

3

• With early identification and prevention programs, the number of children who are placed in special education can be reduced by up to 70%. It costs 2x more to serve a student in special education than in general education.

4

• Without early intervention, the poor 1st grade reader will rarely catch up.

Š

• The time from birth to age 8 is a critical period for literacy development due to rapid brain growth and its response to instruction.

6

• 90% of children with reading difficulties will achieve grade level in reading if they receive the right help before the end of 1st grade.

7

 Brain imaging studies show that, when effective interventions are used successfully, you see changes in the structure and function of the brain.

For More Information:
Checkout Decoding Dyslexia CA's Educator Resources

<u>DecodingDyslexiaCA.org/for-educators</u>
(see page 2 for sources)



## Sources:

- 1. International Dyslexia Association (2017). Universal Screening: K–2 Reading. Baltimore, MD: International Dyslexia Association. (See also Lyon, G.R., & Fletcher, J. (2001, Summer). Early Warning System. *Education Matters* 2001, 23–29.)
- 2. Gaab, N. (2017, February). It's a Myth That Young Children Cannot Be Screened for Dyslexia! Baltimore, MD: International Dyslexia Association. (See also Scarborough, H.S. (1989). Prediction of Reading Disability from Familial and Individual Differences. Journal of Educational Psychology, 81(1), 101; Whitehurst, G.J., & Fischel, J.E. (2001). Reading and Language Impairments in Conditions. Speech and Language Impairments in Children: Causes, Characteristics, Intervention and Outcome, 2, 53; Whitehurst, G.J., & Lonigan, C.J. (1998). Child Development and Emergent Literacy. Child Development, 69(3), 848-872; Lonigan, C.J., Burgess, S.R., & Anthony, J.L. (2000). Development of Emergent Literacy and Early Reading Skills in Preschool Children: Evidence from a Latent-Variable Longitudinal Study. Developmental Psychology, 36(5), 596; Lonigan, C.J., Schatschneider, C., & Westberg, L. (2008). Identification of Children's Skills and Abilities Linked to Later Outcomes in Reading, Writing, and Spelling. Developing Early Literacy: Report of the National Early Literacy Panel, 55-106.)
- 3. Lyon, G. R., Fletcher, J.M., Shaywitz, S. E., Shaywitz, B. A., Torgesen, J.K., Wood, F.B., ... & Olson, R. (2001). Rethinking Learning Disabilities. Rethinking Special Education for a New Century, 260, 276-277. (See also Torgesen, J.K. (2000). Individual Differences in Response to Early Interventions in Reading: The Lingering Problem of Treatment Resisters. Learning Disabilities Research & Practice, 15(1), 55-64. The five studies are as follows: Felton, R.H. (1993). Effects of Instruction on the Decoding Skills of Children with Phonological-Processing Problems. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 26(9), 583-589; Foorman, B.R., Francis, D.J., Fletcher, J.M., Schatschneider, C., & Mehta, P. (1998). The Role of Instruction in Learning to Read: Preventing Reading Failure in At-Risk Children. Journal of Educational Psychology, 90(1), 37; Torgesen, J.K., Wagner, R.K., Rashotte, C.A. & Herron, J. The Effectiveness of Teacher Supported Computer Assisted Instruction in Preventing Reading Problems in Young Children: A Comparison of Two Methods. (unpublished manuscript, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL); Torgesen, J.K., Wagner, R.K., Rashotte, C.A., Rose, E., Lindamood, P., Conway, T., & Garvan, C. (1999). Preventing Reading Failure in Young Children with Phonological Processing Disabilities: Group and individual Responses to Instruction. Journal of Educational Psychology, 91(4), 579; and Vellutino, F.R., Scanlon, D. M., Sipay, E.R., Small, S.G., Pratt, A., Chen, R., & Denckla, M.B. (1996). Cognitive Profiles of Difficult-to-Remediate and Readily Remediated Poor Readers: Early Intervention as a Vehicle for Distinguishing Between Cognitive and Experiential Deficits as Basic Causes of Specific Reading Disability. Journal of Educational Psychology, 88(4), 601.) Legislative Analyst's Office (2013, January 3). Overview of Special Education in California. Figure 6
- 4. Torgesen, J. K. (1998). Catch Them Before They Fall. American Educator, 22, 32-41. (See also Francis, D.J., Shaywitz, S.E., Stuebing, K.K., Shaywitz, B.A., & Fletcher, J.M. (1996). Developmental Lag Versus Deficit Models of Reading Disability: A Longitudinal, Individual Growth Curves Analysis. Journal of Educational Psychology, 88, 317; Juel, C. (1988). Learning to Read and Write: A Longitudinal Study of 54 Children from First through Fourth Grades. Journal of educational Psychology, 80(4), 437; Shaywitz, S.E., Fletcher, J.M., Holahan, J.M., Shneider, A.E., Marchione, K.E., Stuebing, K.K., ... & Shaywitz, B.A. (1999). Persistence of Dyslexia: The Connecticut Longitudinal Study at Adolescence. Pediatrics, 104(6), 1351-1359; and Torgesen, J.K., & Burgess, S.R. (1998). Consistency of Reading-Related Phonological Processes Throughout Early Childhood: Evidence from Longitudinal-Correlational and Instructional Studies. In J. Metsala & L. Ehri (Eds.). Word Recognition in Beginning Reading. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.)
- 5. Nevills, P., & Wolfe, P. (Eds.). (2009). Building the Reading Brain, PreK-3. Corwin Press; Johnson, M.H. (2001). Functional Brain Development in Humans. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 2(7), 475; Johnson, M. H. (2005). Sensitive Periods in Functional Brain Development: Problems and Prospects. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 46, 287–292; Johnston, M.V. (2009). Plasticity in the Developing Brain: implications for Rehabilitation. *Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews*, 15(2), 94-101; Wanzek, J., & Vaughn, S. (2007). Based Implications from Extensive Early Reading Interventions. School Psychology Review, 36(4), 541.
- 6. Vellutino, F.R., Scanlon, D.M., Zhang, H., & Schatschneider, C. (2008). Using Response to Kindergarten and First Grade Intervention to Identify Children At-Risk for Long-Term Reading Difficulties. *Reading and Writing*, 21(4), 437–480; Mathes, P.G., Denton, C.A., Fletcher, J.M., Anthony, J.L., Francis, D.J., & Schatschneider, C. (2005). The Effects of Theoretically Different Instruction and Student Characteristics on the Skills of Struggling Readers. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 40(2), 148–182; Phillips, G., & Smith, P. (2010). Closing the Gaps: Literacy for the Hardest to Teach. In P. Johnston (Ed.), *RTI in Literacy: Responsive and Comprehensive* (pp. 219–246). Newark, DE: International Reading Association; Scanlon, D.M., Gelzheiser, L.M., Vellutino, F.R., Schatschneider, C., & Sweeney, J.M. (2010). Reducing the Incidence of Early Reading Difficulties: Professional Development for Classroom Teachers Versus Direct Interventions for Children. In P. H. Johnston (Ed.), *RTI in literacy: Responsive and Comprehensive*, 257–291. Newark, DE: International Reading Association; Scanlon, D.M., & Vellutino, F.R. (1997). A Comparison of the Instructional Backgrounds and Cognitive Profiles of Poor, Average, and Good Readers Who Were Initially Identified as At Risk for Reading Failure. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 1(3), 191–216.
- 7. Shaywitz, S.E. (2003). Overcoming Dyslexia: A New and Complete Science-Based Program for Reading Problems at Any Level. Knopf, 85-86. (See also Shaywitz, B.A., Shaywitz, S.E., Blachman, B.A., Pugh, K.R., Fulbright, R.K., Skudlarski, P., ... & Fletcher, J.M. (2004). Development of Left Occipitotemporal Systems for Skilled Reading in Children After a Phonologically-Based Intervention. *Biological psychiatry*, 55(9), 926-933; Barquero LA, Davis N, Cutting LE (2014) Neuroimaging of Reading Intervention: A Systematic Review and Activation Likelihood Estimate Meta-Analysis. PLOS ONE 9(1): e83668. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083668.)